

# THE GENERALIZED EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION AS A LIFETIME MODEL UNDER DIFFERENT LOSS FUNCTIONS

A. Asgharzadeh\* and R. Rezaei

Department of Statistics, Faculty of Basic Science, University of Mazandaran, P.C. 47416-1467, Babolsar, IRAN  
\*Email: [a.asgharzadeh@umz.ac.ir](mailto:a.asgharzadeh@umz.ac.ir)

## ABSTRACT

Bayes estimates of the unknown parameter and the reliability function for the generalized exponential model are derived. Bayes estimates are obtained under various losses such as the squared error, the absolute error, the squared log error, and the entropy loss functions. Monte Carlo simulations are presented to compare the Bayes estimates and the maximum likelihood estimates of the unknown parameter and the reliability function.

**Keywords:** Generalized exponential distribution, Bayes estimate, Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness of fit test, Loss function, Maximum likelihood estimate

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Gamma and Weibull distributions are the most popular distributions for life data analysis. One of the major disadvantages of the gamma distribution is that its distribution and survival functions cannot be expressed in a closed form if the shape parameter is not an integer. Moreover, there are terms involving the incomplete gamma function, and thus, the distribution, survival, or hazard functions need to be obtained by numerical integration. This makes the gamma distribution unpopular compared to a Weibull distribution, which has a nice closed form for hazard and survival functions. On the other hand, the Weibull distribution has its own disadvantages. For example, Bain and Engelhardt (1991) have pointed out that the maximum likelihood estimators of a Weibull distribution might not behave properly for all parameter ranges.

Generalized exponential (GE) distribution has been used as an alternative to gamma and Weibull distributions in many situations by Gupta and Kundu (1999). The probability density function (pdf) and cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the one-parameter GE distribution are given, respectively, by

$$f(x, \theta) = \theta e^{-x} (1 - e^{-x})^{\theta-1}, \quad x > 0, \theta > 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$F(x, \theta) = (1 - e^{-x})^\theta, \quad x > 0, \theta > 0. \quad (2)$$

Here,  $\theta$  is the shape parameter. When  $\theta = 1$ , the above distribution corresponds to the exponential distribution. It is important to mention here that when  $\theta$  is a positive integer, the GE cdf is the cdf of the maximum of a random sample of size  $\theta$  from the standard exponential distribution. Due to the simple structure of its distribution function, the GE distribution can be used quite effectively in analyzing any lifetime data, especially in the presence of censoring or if the data is grouped.

The reliability function of the distribution, at some  $t$  is given by

$$R(t) = 1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta.$$

The GE distribution has been studied extensively by Gupta and Kundu (1999, 2008), Raqab (2002), Raqab and Madi (2005), Jaheen (2004), and Singh et al. (2008).

In studying failure models, from the Bayesian point of view, the parameter is considered as a random variable having a specified prior distribution. After a sample has been observed, several estimates can be derived from the posterior distribution. The loss function is used to represent a penalty associated with each of the possible estimates. Traditionally, most authors use the simple squared error loss function and obtain the posterior mean as the Bayesian

estimate. However, in practice, the real loss function is often not symmetric. For example, Feynman (1987) remarks that in the space shuttle disaster, the management may have overestimated the average life or reliability of solid fuel rocket boosters. The consequences of overestimates, in loss of human life, are much more serious than the consequences of underestimates. In this case, an asymmetric loss function might have been more appropriate. In recent years, many authors have considered asymmetric loss functions in reliability, such as Basu and Ebrahimi (1991), Moore and Papadopoulos (2000), Soliman (2005), and Ren et al. (2006).

The purpose of this paper is to study the GE model from the Bayesian point of view under various losses, such as the squared error, the absolute error, the squared log error loss, and the entropy loss functions. In Section 2, the loss functions and the priors are described. In Section 3, we obtain Bayes estimates of  $\theta$  and the reliability function under each of these loss functions. We choose a conjugate prior for  $\theta$ , which includes the Jeffreys prior as a special case. In Section 4, we use the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) goodness of fit test to test the hypothesis that our estimates for  $\theta$ , under the different approaches, are a good approximation for the true value of  $\theta$ . Finally, in Section 5, the different Bayes estimates are compared with the maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) via Monte Carlo simulations, and some concluding remarks are provided.

## 2 LOSS FUNCTIONS AND PRIORS

To estimate an unknown parameter  $\theta$  and reliability function, four loss functions are considered. The first is the common squared error loss given by

$$L_1(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{\theta}$  is the estimate of the parameter  $\theta$ . The second is the absolute error loss given by

$$L_2(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = |\hat{\theta} - \theta|. \quad (4)$$

The next is the squared log error loss function introduced by Brown (1968):

$$L_3(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \left(\ln \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta}\right)^2 = (\ln \hat{\theta} - \ln \theta)^2. \quad (5)$$

This loss function places little weight on estimates whose ratios to the true value are close to one and proportionately more weight on estimates whose ratios to the true value are significantly different from one.

The last loss function is the entropy loss given by

$$L_4(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta}\right) - 1. \quad (6)$$

In order to consider a Bayesian analysis, the conjugate prior of  $\theta$  is considered, i.e.,  $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ , whose probability density function is given by

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\theta}, \quad \theta > 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  are known hyper-parameters. If  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , prior (7) becomes the Jeffreys prior, which is given as follows:

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad \theta > 0. \quad (8)$$

## 3 BAYES ESTIMATION

In order to estimate  $\theta$  and the reliability function, a life test is conducted on  $n$  items, and as each failure occurs, the time is recorded. Suppose that  $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is the observed lifetimes of the  $n$  items. When all  $n$  items have failed, the test ends. The likelihood function, is given by

$$f(\underline{x}, \theta) \propto \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{e^{-x_i}}{1 - e^{-x_i}} \right) e^{-\theta s(\underline{x})}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$s(\underline{x}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 - e^{-x_i}).$$

From (9), the maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) of  $\theta$  and  $R(t)$  are given, respectively, by

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{n}{s(\underline{x})} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\hat{R}(t)_{MLE} = 1 - (1 - e^{-t})^{\hat{\theta}_{MLE}}. \tag{11}$$

Now, we want to find Bayes estimates under loss functions  $L_i$ ;  $i=1,2,3,4$ . Under the conjugate prior (7), the posterior distribution for  $\theta$  is  $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(n + \alpha, \beta + s(x))$ . Clearly, the Jeffreys prior (8) is a special case of (7) when  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , under which the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  is  $\text{Gamma}(n, s(x))$ .

### 3.1 Estimation of $\theta$

For the squared error loss  $L_1$ , under prior (7), the Bayes estimate of  $\theta$  is the posterior mean,

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{n + \alpha}{\beta + s(\underline{x})}. \tag{12}$$

It is easy to see that the MLE of  $\hat{\theta}$  is the same as  $\theta_1$  under the Jeffreys prior (8). The Bayes estimate of  $\theta$  under the absolute error loss  $L_2$  and prior (7) is the median of the posterior distribution. Since the posterior distribution is gamma, the Bayes estimate of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{m_{2(n+\alpha)}}{2(\beta + s(\underline{x}))}, \tag{13}$$

where  $m_{2(n+\alpha)}$  is the median of a chi-square pdf with  $2(n + \alpha)$  degrees of freedom, provided  $2(n + \alpha)$  is an integer.

For the squared log error loss  $L_3$  and prior (8), the Bayes estimate of  $\theta$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_3 &= \exp[E(\ln \theta | \underline{x})] \\ &= \exp\left[\int_0^\infty \ln \theta \frac{(\beta + s(\underline{x}))^{n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(n + \alpha)} \theta^{n+\alpha-1} e^{-(\beta+s(\underline{x}))\theta} d\theta\right] \\ &= \frac{e^{\psi(n+\alpha)}}{\beta + s(\underline{x})}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where  $\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x)$  is the digamma function.

The Bayes estimate of  $\theta$  under the entropy loss  $L_4$  and prior (8) is

$$\hat{\theta}_4 = \frac{1}{E(\theta^{-1} | \underline{x})} = \frac{n + \alpha - 1}{\beta + s(\underline{x})}. \tag{15}$$

### 3.2 Estimation of $R(t)$

Analogous to the four loss functions in estimating  $\theta$ , we may now use the four loss functions for estimating the reliability function  $R(t) = R(t, \theta) = 1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta$ .

For the squared error loss  $L_1$ , the Bayes estimate for the reliability function  $R(t)$  under the prior (8), is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_1(t) &= E[1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta | \underline{x}] \\ &= 1 - M_{\theta|\underline{x}}[\ln(1 - e^{-t})] \\ &= 1 - \left[1 - \frac{\ln(1 - e^{-t})}{\beta + s(\underline{x})}\right]^{-(n+\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Here  $M_{\theta|\underline{x}}(\cdot)$  is the moment generating function of the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ . For estimating the reliability function  $R(t, \theta)$  under the absolute error loss  $L_2$ , since  $R(t, \theta)$  is a monotonic function of  $\theta$ , then the Bayes estimate of  $R(t, \theta)$  is of the form  $R(t, \hat{\theta}_2)$  where  $\hat{\theta}_2$  is the posterior median of  $\theta$ . Thus, the Bayes estimate of  $R(t)$  under the absolute error loss  $L_2$  is given by

$$\hat{R}_2(t) = 1 - (1 - e^{-t})^{\hat{\theta}_2}. \tag{17}$$

Under the squared log error loss  $L_3$  and prior (8) and using the fact that

$$\ln[1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta] = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{m\theta \ln(1 - e^{-t})}}{m},$$

the Bayes estimate of  $R(t)$  can be shown to be

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_3(t) &= \exp[E(R(t) | \underline{x})] \\ &= \exp\left[\int_0^\infty \ln(1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta) \frac{(\beta + s(\underline{x}))^{n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(n + \alpha)} \theta^{n+\alpha-1} e^{-(\beta+s(\underline{x}))\theta} d\theta\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-(\beta + s(\underline{x}))^{n+\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m[\beta + s(\underline{x}) - m \ln(1 - e^{-t})]^{n+\alpha}}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Finally, the Bayes estimate of  $R(t)$  with the gamma prior *Gamma* ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) under the entropy loss  $L_4$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_4(t) &= \frac{1}{E(R^{-1}(t) | \underline{x})} \\ &= \frac{1}{E[(1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta)^{-1} | \underline{x}]}. \end{aligned}$$

On using the Maclaurin series expansion,  $[1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta]^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{m\theta \ln(1 - e^{-t})}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[(1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta)^{-1} | \underline{x}] &= \int_0^\infty (1 - (1 - e^{-t})^\theta)^{-1} \pi(\theta | \underline{x}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{(\beta + s(\underline{x}))^{n+\alpha}}{\Gamma(n + \alpha)} \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{m\theta \ln(1 - e^{-t})}\right) \theta^{n+\alpha-1} e^{-(\beta+s(\underline{x}))\theta} d\theta \\ &= (\beta + s(\underline{x}))^{n+\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[\beta + s(\underline{x}) - m \ln(1 - e^{-t})]^{n+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Bayes estimate of  $R(t)$  under the loss  $L_4$  is

$$\hat{R}_4(t) = [(\beta + s(\underline{x}))^{n+\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\beta + s(\underline{x}) - m \ln(1 - e^{-t}))^{n+\alpha}}]^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

#### 4 KOLMOGOROV-SMIRNOV GOODNESS OF FIT TEST

In this section, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) goodness of fit test is used to check the validity of the hypothesis that the samples are from a GE distribution. This test examines a random sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  from some unknown distribution in order to test the null hypothesis that the unknown distribution is in fact a specified function, say  $F^*(x)$ . The KS goodness of fit test compares the empirical distribution  $F_n(x)$  with the hypothesized parameterized distribution  $F^*(x)$  where

$$F^*(x) = (1 - e^{-x})^{\theta^*},$$

and  $\theta^*$  is given by one of the equations (10), (12), (13), (14), or (15).

Suppose that we would like to test hypotheses  $H_0 : F(x) = F^*(x)$  versus  $H_1 : F(x) \neq F^*(x)$ . Define the random variable  $D_n$  as follows

$$D_n = \sup_x |F^*(x) - F_n(x)|,$$

which is in fact the maximum difference between the empirical distribution  $F_n(x)$  and the hypothesized parameterized distribution  $F^*(x)$ . The null hypothesis  $H_0$  is rejected, at the level of significance  $\gamma$  if the test statistic  $D_n$  exceeds the  $1 - \gamma$  quantile given by the appropriate table. We use the KS goodness of fit test to test the hypothesis that our different estimates for  $\theta$ , under the different approaches, are a good approximation to the true value of  $\theta$ .

#### 5 SIMULATION AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this section, a Monte Carlo simulation study was carried out to compare the performance of the different Bayes estimates and the MLEs. The mean square error (MSE) was used to compare the estimates.

The ML and Bayes estimates were compared based on a Monte Carlo simulation as follows:

1. For given values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we generated  $\theta$  from the gamma prior pdf (7).
2. Using the value of  $\theta$  from step 1, we generated a sample of size  $n$  from the GE pdf in (1).
3. Different estimates for the parameter  $\theta$  and the reliability function were computed from the generated sample. Furthermore, the KS goodness of fit statistic was calculated for the estimates of  $\theta$  and the decision to reject or not reject the null hypothesis was noted.
4. Steps 1-3 were repeated 5000 times, and average values and MSEs of the estimates were computed over the 5000 repetitions.

Tables 1-6 give the number of times that under the Kolmogorov criterion we did not reject the null hypothesis that the data were sampled from a GE distribution with parameters  $\theta$ ,  $\gamma = 0.05$ . Below this, the generated values of  $\theta$  (as the true values of  $\theta$ ), the average value of the estimates for  $\theta$ , and the mean square error are given. Tables 1-3 give the results for  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 2$ . Tables 4-6 give the results for  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 1.5$ . We considered  $n = 10, 20$ , and  $50$ . Note that GP stands for gamma prior and IP for improper prior. The last part of the table gives the estimates of the reliability and the associated mean square error for varying times. From the results, we observe the following.

- (1) From the KS goodness of fit test, we can conclude that the Bayesian and maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) for  $\theta$  and  $R(t)$  lead to good approximations for the parameters and reliability functions for the GE distribution.

- (2) Tables 1-6 show that the Bayes estimates are better than the MLEs (in the sense of MSEs). Also, the MSEs decrease as  $n$  increases.
- (3) From Tables 1-3, for  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 2$ , we observe that the gamma prior overestimates the parameter  $\theta$ , under the squared error, the absolute error, and the squared log error loss functions but underestimates the same parameter under the entropy loss function. It is also observed that the improper prior overestimates the parameter  $\theta$ , under most of the loss functions considered. From Tables 4-6, for  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 1.5$ , we observe that the gamma prior underestimates the parameter  $\theta$  under the different loss functions, but the improper prior overestimates the same parameter.
- (4) In general, for  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 2$ , the Bayes estimators under the gamma prior have smaller MSEs than the improper Bayes, which have less than or equal MSEs to the MLE. We also observe that the entropy loss function does give the smallest MSEs in this case. For  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 1.5$ , the proper and improper Bayes estimators have smaller MSEs than the MLE. In this case, the squared error loss function does give the smallest MSEs.
- (5) We observe that the Bayes estimates of  $\theta$  under the squared error loss function and improper prior reduce to the MLEs.

**Table 1.** KS results, average values, and MSE for estimates for  $n = 10$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\beta = 2$

KS	Exact	MLE	Squared error		Absolute error		Squared Log		Entropy		
			GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	
Number of times accept $H_0$											
		4990	4988	4990	4989	4993	4993	4994	4993	4995	
Parameter		1.6091	1.7997	1.6819	1.7997	1.639	1.7401	1.6176	1.7105	1.5525	1.6197
		0.4596	0.173	0.4596	0.1601	0.4128	0.1552	0.3926	0.1461	0.3429	
Reliability time											
1		0.5220	0.5451	0.5197	0.5313	0.5209	0.5336	0.5106	0.5198	0.5010	0.5074
		0.0131	0.0064	0.0116	0.0068	0.0126	0.0066	0.0117	0.0069	0.0121	
1.5		0.3339	0.3574	0.3381	0.3509	0.3356	0.3481	0.3297	0.3400	0.3210	0.3285
		0.0092	0.0041	0.0084	0.0042	0.0086	0.0040	0.0080	0.0040	0.0078	
2		0.2086	0.2270	0.2138	0.2243	0.2108	0.2205	0.2074	0.2159	0.2010	0.2072
		0.0049	0.0020	0.0046	0.0020	0.0045	0.0019	0.0042	0.0019	0.0039	

**Table 2.** KS results, average values and MSE for estimates for  $n = 20$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\beta = 2$

KS	Exact	MLE	Squared error		Absolute error		Squared Log		Entropy		
			GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	
Number of times accept $H_0$											
		4989	4989	4989	4993	4992	4993	4993	4994	4994	
Parameter		1.6045	1.6856	1.6479	1.6856	1.6241	1.6576	1.6122	1.6437	1.5763	1.6014
		0.1627	0.1073	0.1627	0.1028	0.1538	0.1009	0.15	0.0972	0.1409	
Reliability time											
1		0.5209	0.5312	0.5196	0.5245	0.5203	0.5254	0.5145	0.5187	0.5092	0.5126
		0.0064	0.0044	0.0060	0.0046	0.0063	0.0045	0.0061	0.0046	0.0062	
1.5		0.3331	0.3435	0.3357	0.3405	0.3343	0.3389	0.3310	0.3350	0.3261	0.3294

	0.0041	0.0027	0.0039	0.0028	0.0039	0.0027	0.0038	0.0027	0.0037	
<b>2</b>	0.2081	0.2161	0.2112	0.2149	0.2095	0.2130	0.2076	0.2108	0.2040	0.2066
	0.0020	0.0013	0.0019	0.0013	0.0019	0.0013	0.0018	0.0013	0.0018	

**Table 3.** KS results, average values and MSE for estimates for  $n = 50$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\beta = 2$

KS	Exact	MLE	Squared error		Absolute error		Squared Log		Entropy		
			GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	
Number of times accept $H_0$											
		4979	4980	4979	4982	4980	4982	4982	4983	4983	
Parameter											
		1.6051	1.6367	1.6264	1.6367	1.6162	1.6258	1.6111	1.6204	1.5957	1.604
		0.0552	0.0473	0.0552	0.0463	0.0539	0.046	0.0534	0.0451	0.0521	
Reliability time											
<b>1</b>		0.5211	0.5253	0.5210	0.5227	0.5212	0.5230	0.5187	0.5203	0.5165	0.5179
		0.0025	0.0021	0.0024	0.0021	0.0024	0.0021	0.0024	0.0021	0.0021	0.0024
<b>1.5</b>		0.3332	0.3374	0.3347	0.3362	0.3341	0.3356	0.3327	0.3340	0.3306	0.3318
		0.0015	0.0013	0.0015	0.0013	0.0015	0.0013	0.0014	0.0013	0.0014	
<b>2</b>		0.2082	0.2113	0.2098	0.2109	0.2091	0.2101	0.2083	0.2093	0.2067	0.2076
		0.0007	0.0006	0.0007	0.0006	0.0007	0.0006	0.0007	0.0006	0.0007	

**Table 4.** KS results, average values and MSE for estimates for  $n = 10$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$ , and  $\beta = 1.5$

KS	Exact	MLE	Squared error		Absolute error		Squared Log		Entropy		
			GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	
Number of times accept $H_0$											
		4658	4886	4658	4904	4736	4910	4763	4899	4863	
Parameter											
		1.4476	1.6058	1.334	1.6058	1.2919	1.5526	1.2710	1.5262	1.2069	1.4452
		0.3527	0.1434	0.3527	0.1467	0.3173	0.1497	0.3021	0.1648	0.2654	
Reliability time											
<b>1</b>		0.4852	0.5064	0.4412	0.4943	0.4403	0.4952	0.4300	0.4827	0.4180	0.4701
		0.0128	0.0086	0.0114	0.0091	0.0122	0.0097	0.0114	0.0111	0.0117	
<b>1.5</b>		0.3061	0.3268	0.2792	0.3213	0.2756	0.3181	0.2698	0.3109	0.2601	0.2999
		0.0082	0.0045	0.0075	0.0047	0.0077	0.0049	0.0071	0.0056	0.0069	
<b>2</b>		0.1898	0.2056	0.1737	0.2034	0.1702	0.1996	0.1670	0.1955	0.1605	0.1876
		0.0041	0.0020	0.0039	0.0021	0.0038	0.0021	0.0035	0.0024	0.0033	

**Table 5.** KS results, average values and MSE for estimates for  $n = 20$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 1.5$

KS	Exact	MLE	Squared error		Absolute error		Squared Log		Entropy	
			GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP
Number of times accept $H_0$										
		4987	4995	4987	4995	4989	4995	4991	4993	4995
Parameter	1.4422	1.5189	1.3905	1.5189	1.3679	1.4936	1.3567	1.4811	1.3226	1.4429
		0.1375	0.0889	0.1375	0.0890	0.1300	0.0894	0.1267	0.0923	0.1188
Reliability time										
1	0.4839	0.4952	0.4617	0.4893	0.4615	0.4895	0.4559	0.4834	0.4499	0.4772
		0.0063	0.0050	0.0059	0.0052	0.0061	0.0053	0.0059	0.0057	0.0060
1.5	0.3052	0.3157	0.2920	0.3132	0.2902	0.3115	0.2871	0.3080	0.2820	0.3026
		0.0037	0.0027	0.0036	0.0028	0.0036	0.0028	0.0035	0.0030	0.0034
2	0.1892	0.1971	0.1815	0.1961	0.1797	0.1942	0.1779	0.1922	0.1744	0.1883
		0.0018	0.0012	0.0017	0.0012	0.0017	0.0013	0.0016	0.0013	0.0016

**Table 6.** KS results, average values and MSE for estimates for  $n = 50$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 1.5$

KS	Exact	MLE	Squared error		Absolute error		Squared Log		Entropy	
			GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP	GP	IP
Number of times accept $H_0$										
		4984	4994	4984	4994	4985	4994	4987	4992	4991
Parameter	1.4453	1.4765	1.4268	1.4765	1.4174	1.4667	1.4127	1.4618	1.3985	1.4470
		0.0471	0.0396	0.0471	0.0395	0.0460	0.0396	0.0455	0.0399	0.0443
Reliability time										
1	0.4847	0.4896	0.4760	0.4873	0.4759	0.4873	0.4736	0.4849	0.4712	0.4824
		0.0024	0.0022	0.0024	0.0022	0.0024	0.0022	0.0024	0.0023	0.0024
1.5	0.3057	0.3102	0.3007	0.3092	0.3000	0.3085	0.2987	0.3071	0.2966	0.3050
		0.0014	0.0012	0.0014	0.0012	0.0014	0.0012	0.0013	0.0012	0.0013
2	0.1896	0.1928	0.1867	0.1925	0.1859	0.1917	0.1852	0.1909	0.1837	0.1894
		0.0006	0.0005	0.0006	0.0005	0.0006	0.0005	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006

## 6 REFERENCES

Bain, L.J. & Engelhardt, M. (1991) *Statistical Analysis of Reliability and Life Testing Models-Theory and Methods*. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Basu, A.P. & Ebrahimi, N. (1991) Bayesian approach to life testing and reliability estimation using asymmetric loss function. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 29, 21-31.

- Brown, L. (1968) Inadmissibility of the usual estimators of scale parameters in problems with unknown location and scale parameters. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 39(1), 29-48.
- Feynman, R. P. (1987) Mr. Feynman goes to Washington. In: *Engineering and Science*. California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, pp.6-22.
- Gupta, R. D. & Kundu, D. (1999) Generalized exponential distribution. *Austr. NZ J. Statist.* 41(2), 173-188.
- Gupta, R. D. & Kundu, D. (2008) Generalized exponential distribution: Bayesian estimations. *Comput Stat & Data Analys.* 4, 1873-1883.
- Jaheen, Z. F. (2004) Empirical inference for generalized exponential distribution based on records. *Commun. Statist. - Theory. Meth.* 33(8), 1851-1861.
- Moore, D. & Papadopoulos, A. S. (2000) The Burr type XII distribution as a failure model under various loss functions. *Microelectronics Reliability* 40, 2117-2122.
- Raqab, M. Z. (2002) Inference for generalized exponential distribution based on record statistics. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 104, 339-350.
- Raqab, M. Z. & Madi, T. (2005) Bayesian inference for the generalized exponential distribution. *J. Statist. Comput. Simul.* 75(10), 841- 852.
- Ren, C., Sun, D., & Dey, D. (2006) Bayesian and frequentist estimation and predication for exponential distribution. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 136, 2873-2897.
- Singh, R., Singh, S. K., Singh, V., & Singh, G. P. (2008) Bayes estimator of generalized exponential parameters under linex loss function using Lindley's approximation. *Data Science Journal* 7, 65-75.
- Soliman, A. A. (2005) Estimation of parameters of life from progressively censored data using Burr-XII model, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 54(1), 34-42.

(Article history: Received 25 January 2009, Accepted 18 May 2009, Available online 30 September 2009)